



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/668,670	09/22/2003	Mark C. Nicely	112300-3588	1055
29159	7590	04/16/2009		
K&L Gates LLP P.O. Box 1135 CHICAGO, IL 60690			EXAMINER SAGER, MARK ALAN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3714	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/16/2009	ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

chicago.patents@klgates.com

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****U.S. Patent and Trademark Office**

Address : COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
10668670	9/22/03	NICELY ET AL.	112300-3588

K&L Gates LLP  
P.O. Box 1135  
CHICAGO, IL 60690

**EXAMINER**

M. Sager

ART UNIT	PAPER
----------	-------

3714	04012009
------	----------

DATE MAILED:

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

**Commissioner for Patents**

This notice of non-compliant amendment for amendment rec'd Jan 02, 2009 appears to be a bona-fide attempt to respond to prior office action but it contains a serious omission, as per MPEP 714.03, for failing to properly provide citations where newly claimed features is taught within originally filed specification. The cited reply on page 24 alleges that Applicant/Counsel believes no new matter was entered by the amendment without addressing where specific support is found in originally filed specification which is deemed to be a serious omission. In addition, although, the cited reply alleges that prior art fails to teach particular steps/functions regarding multiple consecutive wagers in generalized manner of newly claimed invention; the reply fails to specifically address how Orenstein 2001/0028147 specifically fails where Orenstein '147 states tracknig multiple consecutive wagers (abstract, para .31-32). A proper reply must address both above identified omissions to avoid abandonment.

Since the submission appears to be a bona fide attempt to provide a complete reply to the prior Office action, Applicant is given a shortened statutory period of ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this letter, whichever is longer, to submit a complete reply. This shortened statutory period supersedes the time period set in the prior Office action. This time period may be extended pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a).

/M. Sager/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3714